UTHSC Surgical Oncology Annual Cancer Symposium September 30<sup>th</sup> 2023

> Bryson W. Katona, MD, PhD Director, Gastrointestinal Cancer Genetics Program Assistant Professor of Medicine Division of Gastroenterology University of Pennsylvania





#### **Disclosures**

Past consultant: Exact Sciences

Paid travel: Janssen

Clinical trial support (paid to institution): Janssen, Immunovia, Epigenomics, Guardant, Freenome, Universal Diagnostics, Recurion

Non-funded industry collaborations: Invitae, Ambry, GeneDx, Myriad





Hereditary colorectal cancer syndromes

Who should have germline testing for a hereditary colorectal cancer syndrome

Yield of germline testing in colorectal cancer

Pros and cons of universal germline testing for colorectal cancer





Hereditary colorectal cancer syndromes

Who should have germline testing for a hereditary colorectal cancer syndrome

Yield of germline testing in colorectal cancer

Pros and cons of universal germline testing for colorectal cancer





#### **Colon cancer is common**

#### Figure 3. Leading Sites of New Cancer Cases and Deaths – 2022 Estimates

Male					Female			
	Prostate	268,490	27%			Breast	287,850	31%
ses	Lung & bronchus	117,910	12%			Lung & bronchus	118,830	13%
	Colon & rectum	80,690	8%		<b>X</b>	Colon & rectum	70,340	8%
Ö	Urinary bladder	61,700	6%			Uterine corpus	65,950	7%
Ň	Melanoma of the skin	57,180	6%			Melanoma of the skin	42,600	5%
ted Ne	Kidney & renal pelvis	50,290	5%			Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	36,350	4%
	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	44,120	4%			Thyroid	31,940	3%
ma	Oral cavity & pharynx	38,700	4%		Pancreas Kidney & renal pelvis		29,240	3%
stir	Leukemia	35,810	4%				28,710	3%
ш	Pancreas	32,970	3%			Leukemia	24,840	3%
	All sites	983,160				All sites	934,870	

	Male				Female		
	Lung & bronchus	68,820	21%		Lung & bronchus	61,360	21%
	Prostate	34,500	11%		Breast	43,250	15%
	Colon & rectum	28,400	9%		Colon & rectum	24,180	8%
•	Pancreas	25,970	8%		Pancreas	23,860	8%
	Liver & intrahepatic bile duct	20,420	6%		Ovary	12,810	4%
5	Leukemia	14,020	4%		Uterine corpus	12,550	4%
5	Esophagus	13,250	4%		Liver & intrahepatic bile duct	10,100	4%
	Urinary bladder	12,120	4%		Leukemia	9,980	3%
3	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	11,700	4%		Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	8,550	3%
	Brain & other nervous system	10,710	3%		Brain & other nervous system	7,570	3%
	All sites	322,090			All sites	287,270	

~4-5% lifetime risk of developing colon cancer

ACS, Cancer Facts and Figures, 2022

#### Familial and genetic risk for colorectal cancer



Adapted from Burt et. al., Gastroenterology, 2000

#### Hereditary colorectal cancer risk syndromes

Gene(s) Inheritance		Syndrome(s)	Polyp type(s)	
Historically well-establish	ed syndromes			
APC	AD	Familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) or attenuated FAP (AFAP)	Adenoma	
MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, EPCAM	AD	Lynch syndrome (LS)	Adenoma	
MUTYH (biallelic)	AR	MUTYH-associated polyposis (MAP)	Adenoma, sessile serrated	
PTEN	AD	PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome (PHTS), Cowden syndrome, Bannayan- Riley-Ruvalcaba syndrome	Hamartoma, adenoma, inflammatory, hyperplastic, ganglioneuroma, intramucosal lipoma	
SMAD4, BMPR1A	AD	Juvenile polyposis syndrome (JPS)	Hamartoma (juvenile type)	
STK11	AD	Peutz-Jeghers syndrome (PJS)	Hamartoma (Peutz-Jeghers type)	
Newer syndromes				
AXIN2	AD	AXIN2-associated polyposis	Adenoma	
GREM1	AD	Hereditary mixed polyposis syndrome (HMPS)	Adenoma, hyperplastic, hamartomas, inflammatory, and polyps of mixed subtype	
MSH3	AR	MSH3-associated polyposis	Adenoma	
NTHL1	AR	NTHL1-associated polyposis	Adenoma	
POLD1, POLE	AD	Polymerase proofreading- Adenoma associated polyposis (PPAP)		
RNF43	AD	RNF43-associated serrated Sessile serrated, tradit polyposis syndrome serrated adenoma, h		
Emerging evidence genes				

RPS20, GALNT12, MLH3

AD - autosomal dominant, AR - autosomal recessive

Long J.M...Katona B.W., Curr Treat Options Gastro, 2021

#### Hereditary colorectal cancer risk syndromes





Foda Z., Dharwadkar P., Katona B.W., *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol*, 2023

Hereditary colorectal cancer syndromes

#### Who should have germline testing for a hereditary colorectal cancer syndrome

Yield of germline testing in colorectal cancer

Pros and cons of universal germline testing for colorectal cancer





- Age of CRC diagnosis
- Tumor characteristics
- History of polyps
- Family history
- Risk model score
- Date of prior genetic testing

- Age of CRC diagnosis
- Tumor characteristics
- History of polyps
- Family history
- Risk model score
- Date of prior genetic testing

All patients with colorectal cancer diagnosed before age 50 should be sent for genetic testing, regardless of family history or tumor characteristics

Stoffel et al., *Gastroenterology*, 2018

- 1 in 5 carries a germline mutation associated with cancer
- Half of patients may not have a "suspicious" family history

Seagle et al., JCO, 2023

• 12.2% with a pathogenic germline variant

- Age of CRC diagnosis
- Tumor characteristics
- History of polyps
- Family history
- Risk model score
- Date of prior genetic testing

- 1. Deficient MMR IHC not explained by MLH1 hypermethylation
- 2. MSI-H
- 3. Potential germline hit(s) on a somatic tumor sequencing panel
- 4. Possibly for ALL colorectal cancers

NCCN National Comprehensive Cancer Network<sup>®</sup> NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2023 Lynch Syndrome

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION OF LYNCH SYNDROME AND OTHER CANCER RISK GENES AMONG INDIVIDUALS WITH A PERSONAL HISTORY OF COLORECTAL CANCER



Utilize tumor and family historybased criteria for evaluation of LS (<u>LS-1</u>) and consider germline MGPT evaluation for LS and other hereditary cancer syndromes for all individuals with CRC aged ≥50 years at diagnosis<sup>r</sup> (category 2B)

- Age of CRC diagnosis
- Tumor characteristics
- History of polyps
- Family history
- Risk model score
- Date of prior genetic testing

#### Mutations in Any Gene 10 - 19 polyps



- ≥ 10 cumulative colonic adenomas
- ≥ 2 gastrointestinal hamartomas
- ≥ 5 serrated polyps proximal to rectum
- **Duodenal** adenomas
- Advanced fundic gland polyposis

You're just not comfortable with the patient's polyp burden!

Stanich, P.P., et al., CGH, 2019

- Age of CRC diagnosis
- Tumor characteristics
- History of polyps
- **Family history**
- Risk model score
- Date of prior genetic testing

Have a relative with a known hereditary cancer risk syndrome

Have a deceased relative with a suspected hereditary cancer risk syndrome or early onset cancer

Have a family history of CRC or other Lynch syndrome-related cancers



- An individual with a LS-related cancer<sup>b</sup> and any of the following:
- I first-degree or second-degree relative with an LS-related cancer<sup>b</sup> diagnosed <50 y</li>
   ≥2 first-degree or second-degree relatives with an LS-related cancer<sup>b</sup> regardless of age
- Family history<sup>c</sup> of any of the following:
- ▶ ≥1 first-degree relative with a colorectal or endometrial cancer diagnosed <50 y</p>
- ▶ ≥1 first-degree relative with a colorectal or endometrial cancer and a synchronous or metachronous LS-related cancer<sup>b</sup> regardless of age
- ▶ ≥2 first-degree or second-degree relatives with LS-related cancers, <sup>b</sup> including ≥1 diagnosed <50 y</li>
   ▶ ≥3 first-degree or second-degree relatives with LS-related cancers<sup>b</sup> regardless of age

- Age of CRC diagnosis
- Tumor characteristics
- History of polyps
- Family history
- Risk model score
- Date of prior genetic testing

PREMM <sup>SM</sup> PROBE. EMPOWER. MANIFEST.			DANA-FARBER		
	Home	Model development	Contact		
м					

#### Lynch syndrome prediction model

MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, and EPCAM gene mutations



Sex

○ Male

○ Female

#### Current age (years)

Has the patient had colorectal cancer?

o No

Yes

#### http://premm.dfci.harvard.edu/

- Age of CRC diagnosis
- Tumor characteristics
- History of polyps
- Family history
- Risk model score
- Date of prior genetic testing

Risk ≥ 5%  $\rightarrow$  Definitely test

Risk ≥ 2.5%  $\rightarrow$  Consider testing

- Example family:
  - Proband is a male patient with CRC at age 40
  - Family history significant for a first degree relative with CRC at age 40

Overall predicted probability of MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, or EPCAM mutation



#### If the overall predicted probability is $\geq 2.5\%$

Referral for genetic evaluation is recommended. This may include tumor sample microsatellite instability (MSI) or immunohistochemistry (IHC) testing, genetic counseling, and/or germline genetic testing. (Kastrinos F. et al. Development and validation of the PREMM5 model for comprehensive risk assessment of Lynch syndrome. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2017 May 10. Advance online publication. DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2016.69.6120. PREMM<sub>5</sub> JCO)

#### http://premm.dfci.harvard.edu/

- Age of CRC diagnosis
- Tumor characteristics
- History of polyps
- Family history
- Risk model score
- Date of prior genetic testing

Individuals with older (often more limited) genetic testing may be eligible for updated testing

#### Non-hamartomatous polyposis evaluation

10 years ago
APC
APC
AXIN2
RNF43

MUTYH
GREM1
MLH3

MUTYH
MSH3
POLE
POLD1

Hereditary colorectal cancer syndromes

Who should have germline testing for a hereditary colorectal cancer syndrome

Yield of germline testing in colorectal cancer

Pros and cons of universal germline testing for colorectal cancer





#### **CRC MGPT results amongst US cohorts**

Study	CRC patients that got testing	Yield of PGVs	Panel size
Yurgelun, <i>JCO</i> , 2017	1058	9.9%	25 genes
AlDubayan, <i>AJHG</i> , 2018	680	9.4%	40 genes
LaDuca, <i>GIM</i> , 2019	8907	11%	5-49
Pearlman, JCO PO, 2021	3300 (1462 got testing [44%])	7.1%	25-66 genes
Uson, <i>CGH</i> , 2022	361	15.5%	84 genes

#### **CRC MGPT results amongst US cohorts**

Study	CRC patients that got testing	Yield of PGVs	Panel size	# Centers	% White	# Non-White participants
Yurgelun, <i>JCO</i> , 2017	1058	9.9%	25 genes	1	88.8%	107
AlDubayan, <i>AJHG</i> , 2018	680	9.4%	40 genes	1	98%	13
LaDuca, <i>GIM</i> , 2019	8907	11%	5-49	Multiple	??	??
Pearlman, <i>JCO</i> <i>PO</i> , 2021	3300 (1462 got testing [44%])	7.1%	25-66 genes	51 (1 state)	89.3%	331
Uson, <i>CGH</i> , 2022	361	15.5%	84 genes	3	82%	52

Therefore we need studies to determine the yield of MGPT across a large, more diverse CRC cohort

# **Methods**

#### CANCER GENETICS 6

# Multigene Panel Testing Yields High original reports **Rates of Clinically Actionable Variants Among Patients With Colorectal Cancer**

#### JCO<sup>®</sup> Precision Oncology 2022

Sarah E. Coughlin, MD<sup>1</sup>; Brandie Heald, MS<sup>2</sup>; Dana Farengo Clark, MS<sup>1</sup>; Sarah M. Nielsen, MS<sup>2</sup>; Kathryn E. Hatchell, PhD<sup>2</sup>; Edward D. Esplin, MD, PhD<sup>2</sup>; and Bryson W. Katona, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup>

- A retrospective cohort of patients • with reported CRC who underwent MGPT at a commercial laboratory between 03/2015-05/2021 (N=36,647)
- **Clinically actionable** variant in a gene associated with: •
  - **CRC or polyposis** risk
  - Another hereditary cancer syndrome with clinical management and/or therapeutic implications (other actionable)

#### Non-actionable ٠

Variant in a gene without associated clinical management or therapeutic implications (including **MUTYH** monoallelic carriers)

## **Cohort Characteristics**

<b>TABLE 1.</b> Cohort Characteristics of Patients With CRC Undergoing           Multigene Panel Testing							
Characteristic	N = 34,244						
Age at testing, years, No. (%)							
< 30	808 (2.4)						
30-39	3,139 (9.2)						
40-49	6,705 (19.6)						
50-59	7,514 (21.9)						
60-69	8,161 (23.8)						
70-79	5,893 (17.2)						
≥ 80	2,024 (5.9)						
Female sex, No. (%)	20,792 (60.7)						
Race/Ethnicity, No. (%)							
Ashkenazi Jewish	572 (1.7)						
Asian	1,114 (3.3)						
Black	2,277 (6.7)						
Hispanic	1,905 (5.6)						
Other or unknown	4,194 (12.2)						
White	24,182 (70.6)						

Number of genes tested, No. (%)						
11-20	4,706 (13.7)					
21-30	3,613 (10.6)					
31-40	1,597 (4.7)					
41-50	11,243 (32.8)					
51-80	3,027 (8.8)					
> 80	10,058 (29.4)					

# **MGPT Results**

**TABLE 2.** Type and Number of Variants Identified by Multigene Panel

Testing

Variant

N = 34,244, No. (%) Patients with any PGV identified 4,864 (14.2) 4,059 (11.9) Clinically actionable CRC/polyposis 3,111 (9.1)

Other actionable	1,048 (3.1)
Nonactionable	952 (2.8)
PGV per patient	
1	4,146 (12.1)
2	441 (1.3)
3	20 (0.06)
> 3	1 (0.00)
Patients with a VUS identified	13,094 (38.2)
VUS and a PGV	1,751 (5.1)
VUS alone	11,343 (33.1)

#### **Pathogenic Gene Variants**



#### **Pathogenic Gene Variants**



#### **VUSs**



#### **CRC MGPT results amongst US cohorts**

Study	CRC patients that got testing	Yield of PGVs	Panel size	# Centers	% White	# Non-White participants
Yurgelun, <i>JCO</i> , 2017	1058	9.9%	25 genes	1	88.8%	107
AlDubayan, <i>AJHG</i> , 2018	680	9.4%	40 genes	1	98%	13
LaDuca, <i>GIM</i> , 2019	8907	11%	5-49	Multiple	??	??
Pearlman, <i>JCO</i> <i>PO</i> , 2021	3300 (1462 got testing [44%])	7.1%	25-66 genes	51 (1 state)	89.3%	331
Uson, <i>CGH</i> , 2022	361	15.5%	84 genes	3	82%	52
Coughlin, JCO PO, 2022	34,244	14.2%	11-80+	Multiple	70.6%	8141

Hereditary colorectal cancer syndromes

Who should have germline testing for a hereditary colorectal cancer syndrome

Yield of germline testing in colorectal cancer

Pros and cons of universal germline testing for colorectal cancer





## Universal germline genetic testing for all CRC patients?

- **Pros** to testing all CRC patients
  - Identify CRC-risk variants
  - Identify variants that increase risk of other cancers
  - Allows cascade testing in family members
  - Rate of genetic variants found is similar to other cancers where universal testing is recommended (ie pancreatic cancer, high-risk prostate cancer)
  - MMR IHC is not perfect
  - Simplifies the referral process
  - Decrease disparities?
- Cons to testing all CRC patients
  - Cost
  - Genetic counseling and physician resources
    - ~150k new cases per year in the US, > 1 million survivors in the US
  - Management of VUSs
  - Does not add therapeutic value for CRC patients (unlike MMR IHC)
  - Increase disparities?

Hereditary colorectal cancer syndromes

Who should have germline testing for a hereditary colorectal cancer syndrome

Yield of germline testing in colorectal cancer

Pros and cons of universal germline testing for colorectal cancer





# Take home points

- ~10-15% of CRC patients who have germline genetic testing will have a PGV
- Many PGVs identified are not in classic CRC risk genes, but may have important implications
- Criteria for testing CRC patients is expanding
- Universal testing for all CRC patients is likely not far down the road





# Thank you!



www.pennmedicine.org/GICancerGenetics



bryson.katona@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

